## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/019,614	KOSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Xu Mei	2614	

5	LAUITING	Aironic	1	
	Xu Mei	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance</li> </ol>	EPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to ro or the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of his application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RGC) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following			
time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in Examiner Note: It box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i) or (ii) or (iii) o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 7. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The table been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since	
AMENDMENTS  \[ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.	:			
7. Mean and a company of a popular the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proward to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9-13.31 and 32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar;</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>		•		
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:		. strainer for allower		
		/Xu Mei/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2614		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all the limitations as argued are nevertheless being met and addressed in the previous Final rejection of 11/14/2006. Applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive..